

**REMARKS**

By this amendment, all claims except 1 and 12 have been canceled, and claim 1 now includes the limitations of previously submitted claim 13.

Claim 13 was rejected under 35 USC §103(a) over Moore in view of Shalvi “for the benefits of alarming presence of an intruder.” (Latest OA, top of page 7). However, this rationale does not follow. The strobe light of Moore intended for placement on top of an electric power or telephone pole to warn aircraft and helicopters that they are flying too low (“307 patent; 2:12-20) There would be no “intruders” to alarm. There is no reason whatsoever to combine Moore and Shalvi. As such, *prima facie* obviousness is not established.

Questions may be directed to Applicant’s below-signed representative at the telephone and/or facsimile numbers provided below.

Dated: June 4, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By 

John G. Posa

Registration No.: 37,424

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON  
& CITKOWSKI, P.C.

2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330

Post Office Box 7021

Troy, Michigan 48007-7021

(734) 913-9300 (734) 913-6007 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant